

REMARKS**102/103 Rejections**

Claims 1-4, 13-28 and 23 stand rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Roitman et al. (US 6137221).

Claims 5-8, 11-12 and 19-22 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roitman in view of Weaver (US 6664730).

Interview Summary

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesy extended to their representative during the personal interview of June 14, 2007.

During the interview, Applicant's representative reiterated that Roitman and Weaver explicitly teach one of the electrodes (e.g. cathode) being discontinuous in the direction of the advancing web.

The Applicant explained that an advantage of the presently claimed organic light-emitting device is that the device does not have a predetermined length. As explained at p. 5, line 9 to p. 6, line 32 of Applicant's patent application; the length of the organic light-emitting device can vary. The length of the continuous electrode layer and light-emitting layer (i.e. dimension in direction of advancing web) ranges from about 1 inch to about 10 inches in order to minimize the voltage drop across the length. Each of the independent claims have been further amended to reflect this point.

As shown in Fig. 1 and set forth in claims 19-20 and 26-27, an organic light-emitting device of any size within this length can be obtained by cutting a portion from the web.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

June 25, 2007

Date

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel
3M Innovative Properties Company
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833

By: /Carolyn A. Fischer/

Carolyn A. Fischer, Reg. No.: 39,091

Telephone No.: 651-575-3915